arXiv:1005.2494v1 [math.PR] 14 May 2010

Forward-Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations with Random Jumps and Stochastic Partial Differential-Integral Equations*

Qingfeng Zhu[a] and Yufeng Shi[b†]
[a] School of Statistics and Mathematics, Shandong University of Finance,
Jinan 250014, China
[b]School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

May 17, 2010

Abstract

In this paper, we study forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equations driven by Brownian motions and Poisson process (FBDSDEP in short). Both the probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential-integral equations (SPDIEs in short) and stochastic Hamiltonian systems arising in stochastic optimal control problems with random jumps are treated with FBDSDEP. Under some monotonicity assumptions, the existence and uniqueness results for measurable solutions of FBDSDEP are established via a method of continuation. Furthermore, the continuity and differentiability of the solutions of FBDSDEP depending on parameters is discussed. Finally, the probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear SPDIEs is given.

keywords: forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equations, stochastic partial differential-integral equations, random measure, Poisson process

1 Introduction

Nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion as noise sources (BSDEs in short) were first introduced by Pardoux and Peng [10]. By virtue of BSDEs, Peng [14] has given a probabilistic interpretation (nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula) for the solutions of semilinear parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs in short), for more detailed, the reader is referred to Darling and Pardoux [5], Pardoux and Zhang [13] and so on. Fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs in short) can provide a probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear parabolic and elliptic

---

*This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 10771122, Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China Grant Y2006A08 and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, No.2007CB814900)

†Corresponding author, E-mail: yfshi@sdu.edu.cn

PDEs (cf Pardoux and Tang [12]) and have been investigated deeply. FBSDEs were studied first by Antonelli [1] and Ma et al. [9], Cvitanic and Ma [4] and used to hedge options involved in a large investor in financial market. Consequently, FBSDEs were developed in Hu and Peng [7], Peng and Wu [15] and Peng and Shi [16] and so on.

A class of backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs in short) was introduced by Pardoux and Peng [11] in 1994, in order to provide a probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs in short). Due to their important significance to SPDEs , the researches for BDSDEs have been in the ascendant (cf. Bally and Matoussi [2], Zhang and Zhao [28], Ren et al. [18], Hu and Ren [6] and their references). In 2003, Peng and Shi [17] have introduced a type of time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations, which is a generalization of stochastic Hamilton system. Recently Zhu et al. [29] have extended the results in [17] to different dimensional forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equations (FBDSDEs in short) and weakened the monotone assumptions. However, the theory of FBDSDEs has not been investigated enough so far.

BSDEs driven by Brownian motions and Poisson process (BSDEP in short) was first discussed by Tang and Li [21]. After then Situ [19] obtained an existence and uniqueness result with non-Lipschitz coefficients for BSDEP, so as to get the probabilistic interpretation for solutions of partial differential-integral equations (PDIEs in short). Using this kind of BSDEP Barles et al. [3] and Yin and Mao [25] proved that there exists a unique viscosity solution for a system of parabolic integral-partial differential equations. Fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations with Poisson process (FBSDEP in short) was discussed by Wu [22] and Yin and Situ [26]. Then FBSDEP were used to study the linear quadratic optimal control problems with random jump by Wu and Wang [23] and the nonzero-sum differential games with random jumps by Wu and Yu [24]. Recently BDSDEs driven by Brownian motions and Poisson process (BDSDEP in short) was discussed by Sun and Lu [20].

Because of their important significance to SPDEs, it is necessary to give intensive investigation to the theory of FBDSDEs. In this paper we study FBDSDEs driven by Brownian motions and Poisson process (FBDSDEP in short), which generalize the so-called time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations introduced by Peng and Shi [17]. FBDSDEP can provide more extensive frameworks for the probabilistic interpretation (nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula) for the solutions to a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential-integral equations (SPDIEs in short) and stochastic Hamiltonian systems arising in stochastic optimal control problems with random jumps. Under some monotonicity assumptions, we establish the existence and uniqueness results for measurable solutions of FBDSDEP by means of a method of continuation systemically introduced by Yong [27]. Then we discuss the continuity and differentiability of the solutions to FBDSDEP depending on parameters. Furthermore, by virtue of FBDSDEP, we give the probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear SPDIEs. Finally, we discuss a doubly stochastic Hamiltonian system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are given. Section 3 is devoted to proving the existence and uniqueness result for FBDSDEP. In Section 4, the continuity and differentiability of the solutions to FBDSDEP depending on parameters is discussed. In Section 5, the probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to a class of quasilinear SPDIEs is given by virtue of this class of FBDSDEP. Finally, in Section 6, the above results are applied to a doubly stochastic Hamiltonian system.

2 Preliminary

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space, and $[0,T]$ be a fixed arbitrarily large time duration throughout this paper. We suppose $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is generated by the following three mutually independent processes:

(i) Let $\{W_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two standard Brownian motions defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, with values respectively in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and in $\mathbb{R}^l$.

(ii) Let N be a Poisson random measure, on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times Z$, where $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ is a nonempty open set equipped with its Borel field $\mathcal{B}(Z)$, with compensator $\widehat{N}(dzdt) = \lambda(dz)dt$, such that $\widetilde{N}(A \times [0,t]) = (N - \widehat{N})(A \times [0,t])_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$ satisfying $\lambda(A) < \infty$. λ is assumed to be a σ-finite measure on $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$ and is called the characteristic measure.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the class of P-null elements of $\mathcal{F}$. For each $t \in [0,T]$, we define $\mathcal{F}_t \doteq \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B \vee \mathcal{F}_t^N$, where for any process $\{\eta_t\}, \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$. Note that the collection $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing, and it does not constitute a classical filtration.

We introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{v_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable process} \\
&\qquad \text{such that } E\int_0^T |v_t|^2 dt < \infty\}, \\
F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{k_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable process} \\
&\qquad \text{such that } E\int_0^T \int_Z |k_t(z)|^2 \lambda(dz)dt < \infty\}, \\
L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{k(z),\ k(z) \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } \mathcal{B}(Z)\text{-measurable function} \\
&\qquad \text{such that } \|k\| = (\int_Z |k(z)|^2 \lambda(dz))^{1/2} < \infty\}, \\
L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^n) &= \{\xi,\ \xi \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } \mathcal{F}_T\text{-measurable random} \\
&\qquad \text{variable such that } E|\xi|^2 < \infty\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a given $u \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $v \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^l)$, one can define the (standard) forward Itô's integral $\int_0^\cdot u_s dW_s$ and the backward Itô's integral $\int_\cdot^T v_s dB_s$. They are both in $M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R})$ (see [11]). We use the usual inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbb{R}^{m\times l}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$. All the equalities and inequalities mentioned in this paper are in the sense of $dt \times dP$ almost surely on $[0,T] \times \Omega$.

Consider the following BDSDE with Brownian motions and Poisson Process (BDSDEP in short):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dP_t & = & F(t,P_t,Q_t,K_t)dt + G(t,P_t,Q_t,K_t)dB_t \\ & & +Q_t dW_t + \displaystyle\int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ P_T & = & \xi, \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} F:\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)\to\mathbb{R}^m, \\ G:\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)\to\mathbb{R}^{m\times l}. \end{array}$$

Definition 2.1 *A triple of $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable stochastic processes (P,Q,K) is called a solution to BDSDEP (1) if $(P,\ Q,K)\in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{m+m\times d})\times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and satisfies BDSDEP (1).*

We assume that

(H1) (i) $\xi\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$;

(ii) F is $\mathcal{F}_t$-progressively measurable and satisfies $F(\omega,t,0,0,0)\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$;

(iii) G is $\mathcal{F}_t$-progressively measurable and satisfies $G(\omega,t,0,0,0)\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times l})$;

(iv) F and G satisfy Lipschitz conditions to P,Q,K, that is, there exist $c>0$ and $0<\gamma<1$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & |F(t,P_1,Q_1,K_1)-F(t,P_2,Q_2,K_2)|^2 \\ \leq & c(|P_1-P_2|^2+|Q_1-Q_2|^2+\|K_1-K_2\|^2), \\ & |G(t,P_1,Q_1,K_1)-G(t,P_2,Q_2,K_2)|^2 \\ \leq & c|P_1-P_2|^2+\gamma(|Q_1-Q_2|^2+\|K_1-K_2\|^2). \end{array}$$

In order to attain our results, we give the following Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 appeared in [20] and Proposition 2.4 appeared in [19].

Proposition 2.2 *Under the assumption (H1), BDSDEP (1) has a unique solution $(P,Q,K)\in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{m+m\times d})\times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Proposition 2.3 *Let $\alpha\in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m),\beta\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m),\gamma\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times l})$, $\delta\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$ be such that:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_t & = & \alpha_0+\displaystyle\int_0^t\beta_s ds+\int_0^t\gamma_s dB_s+\int_0^t\delta_s dW_s \\ & & +\displaystyle\int_0^t\int_Z K_{s_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzds),\ 0\leq t\leq T. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\alpha_t|^2 &= |\alpha_0|^2 + 2\int_0^t \langle \alpha_s, \beta_s\rangle ds + 2\int_0^t \langle \alpha_s, \gamma_s dB_s\rangle \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \langle \alpha_s, \delta_s dW_s\rangle + 2\int_0^t\int_Z \langle \alpha_s, K_{s_-}(z)\rangle \widetilde{N}(dzds) \\ &\quad -\int_0^t |\gamma_s|^2 ds + \int_0^t |\delta_s|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|K_s\|^2 ds,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E|\alpha_t|^2 &= E|\alpha_0|^2 + 2E\int_0^t \langle \alpha_s, \beta_s\rangle ds - E\int_0^t |\gamma_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad +E\int_0^t |\delta_s|^2 ds + E\int_0^t \|K_s\|^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4 *Let* $\alpha \in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n), \beta \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n), \gamma \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times l})$, $\delta \in F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ *be such that:*

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \gamma_s dW_s + \int_0^t\int_Z \delta_{s_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzds), \qquad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Then for all $u(s,x) \in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^m)$*, one has that*

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,\alpha_t) - u(0,\alpha_0) &= \int_0^t \mathcal{L}u(s,\alpha_s)ds + \int_0^t \nabla u(s,\alpha_s)\gamma_s dW_s \\ &\quad +\int_0^t\int_Z (u(s_-,\alpha_{s_-} + \delta(s_-,z)) - u(s_-,\alpha_{s_-}))\widetilde{N}(dzds),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}u = \begin{pmatrix} Lu_1 \\ \vdots \\ Lu_m \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}&Lu_k(t,x) \\ =\;& \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}(t,x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(t)\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\gamma\gamma*)_{ij}(t)\frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}(t,x) \\ &+\int_Z (u_k(t,x+\delta(t,x,z)) - u_k(t,x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t,x,z)\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}(t,x))\lambda(dz), \\ &k = 1,\cdots,m.\end{aligned}$$

3 The existence and uniqueness theorem of FBDSDEP

Consider the following forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equations with Brownian motions and Poisson process (FBDSDEP in short):

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = f(t,X_t,P_t,Y_t,Q_t,K_t)dt + g(t,X_t,P_t,Y_t,Q_t,K_t)dW_t - Y_t dB_t \\ \qquad\quad + \displaystyle\int_Z h(t_-,X_{t_-},P_{t_-},Y_{t_-},Q_{t_-},K_{t_-}(z),z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t = F(t,X_t,P_t,Y_t,Q_t,K_t)dt + G(t,X_t,P_t,Y_t,Q_t,K_t)dB_t + Q_t dW_t \\ \qquad\quad + \displaystyle\int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_0 = \Psi(P_0), \quad P_T = \Phi(X_T). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{llll} F & : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^m, \\ f & : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ G & : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^{m\times l}, \\ g & : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}, \\ h & : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)\times Z & \to & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Psi & : \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^n, \quad \Phi:\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m. & & \end{array}$$

Given an $m\times n$ full-rank matrix H. Let us introduce some notations

$$U = (X,P,Y,Q,K), \quad A(t,U) = (H^{\mathrm{T}}F, Hf, H^{\mathrm{T}}G, Hg, Hh)(t,U),$$
$$\langle A,U\rangle = \langle X, H^{\mathrm{T}}F\rangle + \langle P, Hf\rangle + \langle Y, H^{\mathrm{T}}G\rangle + \langle Q, Hg\rangle + \langle\langle K, Hh\rangle\rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mathrm{T}}G &= (H^{\mathrm{T}}G_1\cdots H^{\mathrm{T}}G_l), \quad Hg = (Hg_1\cdots Hg_d), \\ \langle\langle K, Hh\rangle\rangle &= \int_Z \langle K_t(z), Hh(t,U_t,z)\rangle\lambda(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1 *A quintuple of $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable stochastic processes (X,P,Y,Q,K) is called a solution to FBDSDEP (2), if $(X,P,Y,Q,K)\in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d})\times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and satisfies FBDSDEP (2).*

The following monotonicity conditions are our main assumptions:

(H2) $\forall U=(X,P,Y,Q,K), \bar{U}=(\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})\in\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\forall t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A(t,U)-A(t,\bar{U}), U-\bar{U}\rangle \\ \le\ & -\mu_1(|H(X-\bar{X})|^2 + |H(Y-\bar{Y})|^2) \\ & -\mu_2(|H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})|^2 + |H^T(Q-\bar{Q})|^2 + \|H^{\mathrm{T}}(K-\bar{K})\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

(H3) $\langle \Psi(P)-\Psi(\bar{P}), H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})\rangle \le -\beta_2|H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})|^2, \quad \forall P, \bar{P}\in \mathbb{R}^m,$
$\langle \Phi(X)-\Phi(\bar{X}), H(X-\bar{X})\rangle \ge \beta_1|H(X-\bar{X})|^2, \quad \forall X, \bar{X}\in \mathbb{R}^n.$

Here, μ_1, μ_2, β_1 and β_2 are given nonnegative constants with $\mu_1+\mu_2>0$, $\beta_1+\beta_2>0$, $\mu_1+\beta_2>0$, $\mu_2+\beta_1>0$. Moreover we have $\mu_1>0$, $\beta_1>0$ (resp. $\mu_2>0$, $\beta_2>0$) when $m>n$ (resp. $n>m$).

We also assume that

(H4) For each $U\in\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $A(\cdot,U)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable vector process defined on $[0,T]$ with $A(\cdot,0)\in M^2(0,T;\ \mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d})\times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, and for each $X\in\mathbb{R}^n, \Phi(X)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable vector process with $\Phi(0)\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$, and for each $P\in\mathbb{R}^m$, $\Psi(P)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable vector process with $\Psi(0)\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(H5) f, F, g, G, h, Ψ and Φ satisfy the Lipschitz conditions: there exist constants $c>0$ and $0<\gamma<1$ such that $\forall U=(X,P,Y,Q,K), \bar{U}=(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})$ $\in\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m), \forall t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(t,X,P,Y,Q,K)-f(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})|^2\\
\le\ & c(|X-\bar{X}|^2+|P-\bar{P}|^2+|Y-\bar{Y}|^2+|Q-\bar{Q}|^2+\|K-\bar{K}\|^2),\\
& |F(t,X,P,Y,Q,K)-F(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})|^2\\
\le\ & c(|X-\bar{X}|^2+|P-\bar{P}|^2+|Y-\bar{Y}|^2+|Q-\bar{Q}|^2+\|K-\bar{K}\|^2),\\
& |g(t,X,P,Y,Q,K)-g(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})|^2\\
\le\ & c(|X-\bar{X}|^2+|P-\bar{P}|^2+|Q-\bar{Q}|^2+\|K-\bar{K}\|^2)+\gamma|Y-\bar{Y}|^2,\\
& |G(t,X,P,Y,Q,K)-G(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})|^2\\
\le\ & c(|X-\bar{X}|^2+|P-\bar{P}|^2+|Y-\bar{Y}|^2)+\gamma(|Q-\bar{Q}|^2+\|K-\bar{K}\|^2),\\
& |h(t,X,P,Y,Q,K)-h(t,\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K})|^2\\
\le\ & c(|X-\bar{X}|^2+|P-\bar{P}|^2+|Y-\bar{Y}|^2+|Q-\bar{Q}|^2+\|K-\bar{K}\|^2),\\
& |\Psi(P)-\Psi(\bar{P})|\le c|P-\bar{P}|, \quad |\Phi(X)-\Phi(\bar{X})|\le c|X-\bar{X}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we claim the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2 *Under the assumptions (H2)–(H5), (2) has a unique adapted solution* $(X_t,P_t,\ Y_t,Q_t,K_t)\in M^2(0,T;\ \mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d})\times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$.

The proof of this theorem is divided into two parts, i.e., existence and uniqueness. We first give the proof of uniqueness.

Proof. (Uniqueness) Let $U_s=(X_s,P_s,Y_s,Q_s,K_s)$ and $U'_s=(X'_s,P'_s,Y'_s,Q'_s,K'_s)$ be two solutions of (2). We set $\widehat{U}=(\widehat{X},\widehat{P},\widehat{Y},\widehat{Q},\widehat{K})=(X-X',P-P',Y-$

$Y', Q - Q', K - K')$. Applying Itô's formula to $\langle H\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{P}_s\rangle$ on $[0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\langle H\widehat{X}_T, \Phi(X_T) - \Phi(X'_T)\rangle - E\langle H(\Psi(P_0) - \Psi(P'_0)), \widehat{P}_0\rangle \\
= \ & E\int_0^T \langle A(s,U_s) - A(s,U'_s), \widehat{U}_s\rangle ds \\
\leq \ & -\mu_1 E\int_0^T (|H\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |H\widehat{Y}_s|^2) ds \\
& -\mu_2 E\int_0^T (|H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{P}_s|^2 + |H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{Q}_s|^2 + \|H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{K}_s\|^2) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu_1 E\int_0^T (|H\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |H\widehat{Y}_s|^2) ds \\
& +\mu_2 E\int_0^T (|H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{P}_s|^2 + |H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{Q}_s|^2 + \|H^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{K}_s\|^2) ds \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $m > n$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, then we have $|H\widehat{X}_t|^2 \equiv 0$, $|H\widehat{Y}_t|^2 \equiv 0$. Thus $X_t \equiv X'_t, Y_t \equiv Y'_t$. In particular, $\Phi(X_T) = \Phi(X'_T)$. Consequently, from Proposition 2.2, it follows that $P_t \equiv P'_t, Q_t \equiv Q'_t$ and $K_t \equiv K'_t$.

If $m < n$ and $\mu_2 > 0$, then we have $P_t \equiv P'_t, Q_t \equiv Q'_t$, and $K_t \equiv K'_t$. In particular, $\Psi(P_0) = \Psi(P'_0)$. Thus from Proposition 2.2, it follows that $X_t \equiv X'_t$, and $Y_t \equiv Y'_t$.

Similarly to above arguments, the desired result can be obtained easily in the case $m = n$. □

Remark 3.3 *In the proof of the uniqueness and existence, (H2) and (H3) can be replaced by*

(H2)′ $\forall U = (X, P, Y, Q, K)$, $\bar{U} = (\bar{X}, \bar{P}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Q}, \bar{K}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d} \times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(t,U) - A(t,\bar{U}), U - \bar{U}\rangle \\
\geq \ & \mu_1(|H(X-\bar{X})|^2 + |H(Y-\bar{Y})|^2) \\
& +\mu_2(|H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})|^2 + |H^{T}(Q-\bar{Q})|^2 + \|H^{\mathrm{T}}(K-\bar{K})\|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

(H3)′ $\langle \Psi(P) - \Psi(\bar{P}), H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})\rangle \geq \beta_2|H^{\mathrm{T}}(P-\bar{P})|^2, \quad \forall P, \bar{P} \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
$\langle \Phi(X) - \Phi(\bar{X}), H(X-\bar{X})\rangle \leq -\beta_1|H(X-\bar{X})|^2, \quad \forall X, \bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here, μ_1, μ_2, β_1 and β_2 are given nonnegative constants with $\mu_1 + \mu_2 > 0$, $\beta_1 + \beta_2 > 0$, $\mu_1 + \beta_2 > 0$, $\mu_2 + \beta_1 > 0$. Moreover we have $\mu_1 > 0$, $\beta_1 > 0$ (resp. $\mu_2 > 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$) when $m > n$ (resp. $n > m$).

The proof of the existence is a combination of the above technique and the method of continuation systemically introduced by Yong [27]. We divide the proof of existence into three cases: $m > n$, $m < n$ and $m = n$.

Case 1 $m > n$

If $m > n$, then $\mu_1 > 0$ and $\beta_1 > 0$. We consider the following family of FBDSDEP parametrized by $\alpha \in [0,1]$:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = [\alpha f(t,U_t) + f_0(t)]\,dt + [\alpha g(t,U_t) + g_0(t)]\,dW_t - Y_t dB_t \\ \qquad\quad + \int_Z \left[\alpha h(t_-,U_{t_-},z) + h_0(t_-,z)\right] \widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t = [\alpha F(t,U_t) - (1-\alpha)\mu_1 H X_t + F_0(t)]\,dt + Q_t dW_t \\ \qquad\quad + [\alpha G(t,U_t) - (1-\alpha)\mu_1 H Y_t + G_0(t)]\,dB_t + \int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_0 = \alpha\Psi(P_0) + \psi, \quad P_T = \alpha\Phi(X_T) + (1-\alpha)HX_T + \varphi, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $U_t = (X_t, P_t, Y_t, Q_t)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$ are given arbitrarily.

When $\alpha = 1$ the existence of the solution of (3) implies clearly that of (2). Due to Proposition 2.2, when $\alpha = 0$, the equation (3) is uniquely solvable. The following apriori lemma is a key step in the proof of the method of continuation. It shows that for a fixed $\alpha = \alpha_0 \in [0,1)$, if (3) is uniquely solvable, then it is also uniquely solvable for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + \delta_0]$, for some positive constant δ_0 independent of α_0.

Lemma 3.4 *We assume $m > n$. Under the assumptions (H2)-(H5), there exists a positive constant δ_0 such that if, apriori, for each $\psi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$, and $(F_0,f_0,G_0,g_0,h_0) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, (3) is uniquely solvable for some $\alpha_0 \in [0,1)$, then for each $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_0+\delta_0]$, and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$, $(F_0,f_0,G_0,g_0,h_0) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, (3) is also uniquely solvable in $M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Proof. Since, for each $\psi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P;\mathbb{R}^m)$, $(F_0,f_0,G_0,g_0,h_0) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ there exists a unique solution of (3) for $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Thus, for each $\bar{U} = (\bar{X},\bar{P},\bar{Y},\bar{Q},\bar{K}) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, there exists a unique $U = (X,P,Y,Q,K) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying the following FBDSDEP:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \left[\alpha_0 f(t,U_t) + \delta f(t,\bar{U}_t) + f_0(t)\right] dt + \left[\alpha_0 g(t,U_t) + \delta g(t,\bar{U}_t) + g_0(t)\right] dW_t \\ &\quad -Y_t dB_t + \int_Z \left[\alpha_0 h(t_-,U_{t_-},z) + \delta h(t_-,\bar{U}_{t_-},z) + h_0(t_-,z)\right] \widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t &= \left[\alpha_0 F(t,U_t) - (1-\alpha_0)\mu_1 H X_t + \delta(F(t,\bar{U}_t) + \mu_1 H\bar{X}_t) + F_0(t)\right] dt \\ &\quad + \left[\alpha_0 G(t,U_t) - (1-\alpha_0)\mu_1 H Y_t + \delta(G(t,\bar{U}_t) + \mu_1 H\bar{Y}_t) + G_0(t)\right] dB_t \\ &\quad + Q_t dW_t + \int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_0 &= \alpha_0\Psi(P_0) + \delta\Psi(\bar{P}_0) + \psi, \\ P_T &= \alpha_0\Phi(X_T) + (1-\alpha_0)HX_T + \delta(\Phi(\bar{X}_T) - H\bar{X}_T) + \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta \in (0,1)$ is independent of α_0. We will prove that the mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(U_t, X_T, P_0) = I_{\alpha_0+\delta}(\bar{U}_t, \bar{X}_T, \bar{P}_0) : \\
&M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \\
&\times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^m) \\
&\to M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \\
&\times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^m).
\end{aligned}$$

is contractive for $\delta > 0$ which is small enough.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{U}'_t = (\bar{X}'_t, \bar{P}'_t, \bar{Q}'_t, \bar{K}'_t) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m), \\
&(X'_t, P'_t, Y'_t, Q'_t, K'_t) = U'_t = I_{\alpha_0+\delta}(\bar{U}'_t), \\
&\widehat{\bar{U}}_t = \bar{U}_t - \bar{U}'_t = (\widehat{\bar{X}}_t, \widehat{\bar{P}}_t, \widehat{\bar{Y}}_t, \widehat{\bar{Q}}_t, \widehat{\bar{K}}_t) \\
&= (\bar{X}_t - \bar{X}'_t, \bar{P}_t - \bar{P}'_t, \bar{Y}_t - \bar{Y}'_t, \bar{Q}_t - \bar{Q}'_t, \bar{K}_t - \bar{K}'_t), \\
&\widehat{U}_t = U_t - U'_t = (\widehat{X}_t, \widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Y}_t, \widehat{Q}_t, \widehat{K}_t) \\
&= (X_t - X'_t, P_t - P'_t, Y_t - Y'_t, Q_t - Q'_t, K_t - K'_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\langle H\widehat{X}, \widehat{P}\rangle$ on $[0,T]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\langle H\widehat{X}_T, \alpha_0\widehat{\Phi}(X_T) + (1-\alpha_0)H\widehat{X}_T\rangle \\
&-E\int_0^T \langle \alpha_0(A(s,U_s) - A(s,U'_s)), \widehat{U}_s\rangle ds \\
&+(1-\alpha_0)\mu_1 E\int_0^T (|H\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |H\widehat{Y}_s|^2) ds \\
= \;& E\langle H\widehat{X}_T, \delta H\widehat{\bar{X}}_T\rangle - E\langle H\widehat{X}_T, \delta\widehat{\Phi}(\bar{X}_T)\rangle + E\langle H(\alpha_0\widehat{\Psi}(P_0) + \delta\widehat{\Psi}(\bar{P}_0)), \widehat{P}_0\rangle \\
&+\delta E\int_0^T (\langle \widehat{P}_s, H\widehat{f}\rangle + \langle H\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{F}\rangle + \langle H\widehat{Y}_s, \widehat{G}\rangle + \langle \widehat{Q}_s, H\widehat{g}\rangle + \langle\langle \widehat{K}_s, H\widehat{h}\rangle\rangle) ds \\
&+\delta\mu_1 E\int_0^T (\langle H\widehat{X}_s, H\widehat{\bar{X}}_t\rangle + \langle H\widehat{Y}_s, H\widehat{\bar{Y}}_s\rangle) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{f} = f(t,\bar{U}_t) - f(t,\bar{U}'_t), \quad \widehat{g} = g(t,\bar{U}_t,\bar{K}_t) - g(t,\bar{U}'_t), \\
&\widehat{F} = F(t,\bar{U}_t) - F(t,\bar{U}'_t), \quad \widehat{G} = G(t,\bar{U}_t) - G(t,\bar{U}'_t), \\
&\widehat{h} = h(t,\bar{U}_t,\cdot) - h(t,\bar{U}'_t,\cdot), \\
&\widehat{\Psi}(\bar{P}_0) = \Psi(\bar{P}_0) - \Psi(\bar{P}'_0), \quad \widehat{\Psi}(P_0) = \Psi(P_0) - \Psi(P'_0), \\
&\widehat{\Phi}(\bar{X}_T) = \Phi(\bar{X}_T) - \Phi(\bar{X}'_T), \quad \widehat{\Phi}(X_T) = \Phi(X_T) - \Phi(X'_T).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $B^2 = M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Noting $m > n$, by virtue of (H2)-(H5), we can easily deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\alpha_0+\alpha_0\beta_1)E|H\widehat{X}_T|^2 + \mu_1 E\int_0^T (|H\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |H\widehat{Y}_s|^2)ds \\ \leq\; & \delta C E\int_0^T (\|\widehat{U}_s\|_{B^2}^2 + \|\widehat{\bar{U}}_s\|_{B^2}^2)ds \\ & +\delta C(E\,|\hat{P}_0|^2 + E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{\bar{P}}_0|^2 + E|\widehat{\bar{X}}_T|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with some constant $C > 0$. Hereafter, C will be some generic constant, which can be different from line to line and depends only on the Lipschitz constants c, γ, μ_1, β_1, H and T. It is obvious that $1-\alpha_0+\alpha_0\beta_1 \geq \beta$, $\beta = \min(1,\beta_1) > 0$.

On the other hand, for the difference of the solutions $(\widehat{P},\widehat{Q},\widehat{K}) = (P-P', Q-Q', K-K')$, we apply a standard method of estimation. Applying Proposition 2.3 to $|\widehat{P}_t|^2$ on $[t,T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E|\widehat{P}_t|^2 + E\int_t^T |\widehat{Q}_s|^2 ds + E\int_t^T \|\widehat{K}_s\|^2 ds \\ =\; & E|\alpha_0\widehat{\Phi}(X_T) + (1-\alpha_0)H\widehat{X}_T + \delta(\widehat{\Phi}(\bar{X}_T) - H\widehat{\bar{X}}_T)|^2 \\ & -2E\int_t^T \langle \widehat{P}_s, \alpha_0\widehat{F}(s,U_s) - (1-\alpha_0)\mu_1 H\widehat{X}_s + \delta(\widehat{F}(s,\bar{U}_s) + \mu_1 H\widehat{\bar{X}}_s)\rangle ds \\ & +E\int_t^T |\alpha_0\widehat{G}(s,U_s) - (1-\alpha_0)\mu_1 H\widehat{Y}_s + \delta(\widehat{G}(s,\bar{U}_s) + \mu_1 H\widehat{\bar{Y}}_s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (H5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E|\widehat{P}_t|^2 + \frac{1-\gamma}{4}E\int_t^T (|\widehat{Q}_s|^2 + \|\widehat{K}_s\|^2)ds \\ \leq\; & CE\int_t^T |\widehat{P}_s|^2 ds + C(E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + \delta E|\widehat{\bar{X}}_T|^2) \\ & +CE\int_t^T (|\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |\widehat{Y}_s|^2 + \delta\|\widehat{\bar{U}}_s\|_{B^2}^2)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & E|\widehat{P}_0|^2 + E\int_0^T (|\widehat{P}_s|^2 + |\widehat{Q}_s|^2 + \|\widehat{K}_s\|^2)ds \\ \leq\; & C(E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + \delta E|\widehat{\bar{X}}_T|^2) + CE\int_0^T (|\widehat{X}_s|^2 + |\widehat{Y}_s|^2 + \delta\|\widehat{\bar{U}}_s\|_{B^2}^2)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Combining the above two estimates (4) and (5), for a sufficiently large constant $C > 0$ we can easily have

$$\begin{aligned} & E\int_0^T \|\widehat{U}_s\|_{B^2}^2 ds + E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{P}_0|^2 \\ \leq\; & \delta C(E\int_0^T \|\widehat{\bar{U}}_s\|_{B^2}^2 ds + E|\widehat{\bar{X}}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{\bar{P}}_0|^2), \end{aligned}$$

We now choose $\delta_0 = \dfrac{1}{2C}$. It is clear that, for each fixed $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, the mapping $I_{\alpha_0+\delta}$ is contract in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\int_0^T \|\widehat{U}_s\|^2_{B^2} ds + E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{P}_0|^2 \\ \leq \;& \frac{1}{2}(E\int_0^T \|\widehat{\widehat{U}}_s\|^2_{B^2} ds + E|\widehat{\widehat{X}}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{\widehat{P}}_0|^2), \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this mapping has a unique fixed point $U = (X, P, Y, Q, K) \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F^2_N(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, which is the solution of (3) for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \delta$, $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$. $\square$

Case 2 $m < n$

If $m < n$, then $\mu_2 > 0$ and $\beta_2 > 0$. We consider following equations:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \left[\alpha f(t, U_t) - (1-\alpha)\mu_2 H^{\mathrm{T}} P_t + f_0(t)\right] dt \\ \qquad\quad + \left[\alpha g(t, U_t) - (1-\alpha)\mu_2 H^{\mathrm{T}} Q_t + g_0(t)\right] dW_t - Y_t dB_t \\ \qquad\quad + \displaystyle\int_Z \left[\alpha h(t_-, U_{t_-}, z) + (1-\alpha)\mu_2 H^{\mathrm{T}} K_{t_-}(z) + h_0(t_-, z)\right] \widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t = \left[\alpha F(t, U_t) + F_0(t)\right] dt + Q_t dW_t + \left[\alpha G(t, U_t) + G_0(t)\right] dB_t \\ \qquad\quad - \displaystyle\int_Z K_{t_-}(z) \widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_0 = \alpha\Psi(P_0) + (1-\alpha) H^{\mathrm{T}} P_0 + \psi, \quad P_T = \alpha\Phi(X_T) + \varphi. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Due to Proposition 2.2, when $\alpha = 0$, the equation (6) is uniquely solvable. When $\alpha = 1$ the existence of the solution of (6) implies clearly that of (2). By the techniques similar to Lemma 3.4, We can also prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *We assume $m < n$. Under assumptions (H2)-(H5), there exists a positive constant δ_0 such that if, apriori, for each $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$, and $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F^2_N(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (6) is uniquely solvable for some $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1)$, then for each $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + \delta_0]$, and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F^2_N(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (6)is also uniquely solvable in $M^2(0, T; R^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F^2_N(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Case 3 $m = n$

From (H2) and (H3), we note that we only need to consider two cases as follows:

(1) If $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 \geq 0$, $\beta_1 > 0$, and $\beta_2 \geq 0$, we can have the same result as Lemma 3.4.

(2) If $\mu_1 \geq 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, $\beta_1 \geq 0$, and $\beta_2 > 0$, the same result as Lemma 3.5 holds.

Now we can give proof of the existence of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of the existence of Theorem 3.2. For Case 1, we know that, for each $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F^2_N(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (3) has a unique solution as $\alpha = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exists a positive constant $\delta_0 = \delta_0(c, \gamma, \beta_1, \mu_1, H, T)$ such that for any $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (3) has a unique solution for $\alpha = \delta$. Since δ_0 depends only on c, γ, β_1, μ_1, H, and T, we can repeat this process for N times with $1 \leq N\delta_0 < 1 + \delta_0$. In particular, for $\alpha = 1$ with $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \equiv 0$, $\varphi \equiv 0$, $\psi \equiv 0$, (3) has a unique solution in $M^2(0, T; R^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

For Case 2, we know that, for each $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (6) has a unique solution as $\alpha = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that there exists a positive constant $\delta_0 = \delta_0(c, \gamma, \beta_2, \mu_2, H, T)$ such that for any $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^n)$, $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$, $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+n+m\times l+n\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, (6) has a unique solution for $\alpha = \delta$. Since δ_0 depends only on c, γ, β_2, μ_2, H, and T, we can repeat this process for N times with $1 \leq N\delta_0 < 1 + \delta_0$. In particular, for $\alpha = 1$ with $(F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0, h_0) \equiv 0$, $\varphi \equiv 0$, $\psi \equiv 0$, (6) has a unique solution in $M^2(0, T; R^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Similar to the above two cases, the desired result can be obtained in Case 3. □

4 FBDSDEP depending on parameters

In this section, the continuity of the solutions to FBDSDEP depending on parameters is discussed. Let $\{f_\alpha, g_\alpha, h_\alpha, F_\alpha, G_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha, \alpha \in R\}$ be a family of data of FBDSDEP as follows

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\alpha = f_\alpha(t, X_t^\alpha, P_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, Q_t^\alpha, K_t^\alpha)dt + g_\alpha(t, X_t^\alpha, P_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, Q_t^\alpha, K_t^\alpha)dW_t \\ \qquad -Y_t^\alpha dB_t + \displaystyle\int_Z h_\alpha(t_-, X_{t_-}^\alpha, P_{t_-}^\alpha, Y_{t_-}^\alpha, Q_{t_-}^\alpha, K_{t_-}^\alpha(z), z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t^\alpha = F_\alpha(t, X_t^\alpha, P_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, Q_t^\alpha, K_t^\alpha)dt + G_\alpha(t, X_t^\alpha, P_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, Q_t^\alpha, K_t^\alpha)dB_t \\ \qquad +Q_t^\alpha W_t + \displaystyle\int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_0 = \Psi_\alpha(P_0), \quad P_T = \Phi_\alpha(X_T), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

with solutions denoted by $(X^\alpha, P^\alpha, Y^\alpha, Q^\alpha, K^\alpha)$.

Let us give some assumptions:

(H6) (i) The family $\{f_\alpha, F_\alpha, g_\alpha, G_\alpha, h_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha; \alpha \in R\}$ satisfy the equi-Lipschitz conditions with the same constant c as in (H5);

(ii) $\{f_\alpha, F_\alpha, g_\alpha, G_\alpha, h_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha\}$ are continuous for α, in their existing space norm sense respectively.

Then we have the following continuity result.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\{f_\alpha, F_\alpha, g_\alpha, G_\alpha, h_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a family of FBDSDEP (7) satisfying (H2)–(H6) with solutions denoted by $(X^\alpha, P^\alpha, Y^\alpha, Q^\alpha, K^\alpha)$. Then, the family of functions $\{(X^\alpha, P^\alpha, Y^\alpha, Q^\alpha, K^\alpha, X_T^\alpha, P_0^\alpha);\ \alpha \in R\}$ is continuous for α in $M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Proof. For notational convenience, we only prove the continuity of FBDSDEP (7) at $\alpha = 0$. We need to get that $(X^\alpha, P^\alpha, Y^\alpha,\ Q^\alpha, K^\alpha, X_T^\alpha, P_0^\alpha)$ converges to $(X^0, P^0,\ Y^0, Q^0, K^0, X_T^0, P_0^0)$ in $M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T,\ P;\mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\alpha \to 0$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{U}_t &= U_t^\alpha - U_t^0 = (\widehat{X}_t, \widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Y}_t, \widehat{Z}_t, \widehat{K}_t) \\
&= (X_t^\alpha - X_t^0, P_t^\alpha - P_t^0, Y_t^\alpha - Y_t^0, Q_t^\alpha - Q_t^0, K_t^\alpha - K_t^0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases}
d\widehat{X}_t = (f_\alpha(t, U_t^\alpha) - f_0(t, U_t^0))dt + (g_\alpha(t, U_t^\alpha) - g_0(t, U_t^0))dW_t - \widehat{Y}_t dB_t \\
\qquad + \displaystyle\int_Z (h_\alpha(t_-, U_{t_-}^\alpha, z) - h_0(t_-, U_{t_-}^0 z))\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\
d\widehat{P}_t = (F_\alpha(t, U_t^\alpha) - F_0(t, U_t^0))dt + (G_\alpha(t, U_t^\alpha) - G_0(t, U_t^0))dB_t \\
\qquad + \widehat{Q}_t dW_t + \displaystyle\int_Z \widehat{K}_{t_-}^\alpha(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\
\widehat{X}_0 = \Psi_\alpha(P_0^\alpha) - \Psi_0(P_0^0), \quad \widehat{P}_T = \Phi_\alpha(X_T^\alpha) - \Phi_0(X_T^0).
\end{cases}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $|\widehat{X}_t|^2$, $|\widehat{P}_t|^2$, and $\left\langle H\widehat{X}_t, \widehat{P}_t \right\rangle$ with the usual technique similarly to Lemma 3.4, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\int_0^T \|\widehat{U}_s\|_{B^2}^2 ds + E|\widehat{X}_T|^2 + E|\widehat{P}_0|^2 \\
\leq\ & CE\int_0^T (|f_\alpha(s, U_s^0) - f_0(s, U_s^0)|^2 + |g_\alpha(s, U_s^0) - g_0(s, U_s^0)|^2)ds \\
& + CE\int_0^T (|F_\alpha(s, U_s^0) - F_0(s, U_s^0)|^2 + |G_\alpha(s, U_s^0) - G_0(s, U_s^0)|^2)ds \\
& + CE\int_0^T \|h_\alpha(s, U_s^0, \cdot) - h_0(s, U_s^0, \cdot)\|^2 ds \\
& + CE|\Phi_\alpha(y_T^0) - \Phi_0(y_T^0)|^2 + CE|\Psi_\alpha(Y_0^0) - \Psi_0(Y_0^0)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant depending on the Lipschitz constants c, γ, μ_1, μ_2, β_1, β_2, H, and T. Therefore, from (H6), it follows that $(X^\alpha, P^\alpha, Y^\alpha, Q^\alpha, K^\alpha, X_T^\alpha, P_0^\alpha)$ converges to $(X^0, P^0, Y^0, Q^0, K^0, X_T^0, P_0^0)$ in the space $M^2(0,T; R^{n+m+n\times l+m\times d}) \times F_N^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^n) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; R^m)$ as $\alpha \to 0$. □

In fact, we can also discuss the differentiability of the solution to FBDSDEP depending on parameters. The method is similar. These two properties are important and make FBDSDEP be used widely especially in practice.

5 The probabilistic interpretation of SPDIEs

The connection of BDSDEs and systems of second-order quasilinear SPDEs was observed by Pardoux and Peng [11]. This can be regarded as a stochastic version of the well-known Feynman-Kac formula which gives a probabilistic interpretation for second-order SPDEs of parabolic types. Thereafter this subject has attracted many mathematicians, referred to Bally and Matoussi [2], Zhang and Zhao [28], Hu and Ren [6], see also Ren et al. [18]]. In [18]], the authors got a probabilistic interpretation for the solution of a semilinear SPDIE, via BDSDEs with Lévy process. This section can be viewed as a continuation of such a theme, and will exploit the above theory of fully coupled FBDSDEP in order to provide a probabilistic formula for the solution of a quasilinear SPDIE.

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\{X_t, P_t, Q_t, K_t; 0 \le t < T\}$ denote the solution of the FBDSDEP:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dX_t &=& f(t,X_t,P_t,Q_t,K_t)dt + g(t,X_t,P_t)dW_t + \displaystyle\int_Z h(t_-,X_{t_-},z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ dP_t &=& F(t,X_t,P_t,Q_t,K_t)dt + G(t,X_t,P_t,Q_t,K_t)dB_t \\ && +Q_t dW_t + \displaystyle\int_Z K_{t_-}(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\ X_t &=& x, \quad P_T = \Phi(X_T), \end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{llcl} F & : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^m, \\ f & : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ G & : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^m) & \to & \mathbb{R}^{m\times l}, \\ g & : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m & \to & \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}, \\ h & : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times Z & \to & \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Phi & : \mathbb{R}^n & \to & \mathbb{R}^m, \end{array}$$

satisfy (H2)-(H5), and

(H7) f, g, h, F and G are of class C^3, and Φ is of class C^2.

We now relate FBDSDEP (8) to the following system of quasilinear second-order parabolic SPDIE:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}u(t,x)dt \\ = F(t,x,u(t,x),\nabla u(t,x)g(t,x,u(t,x)),u(t,x+h(t,x,\cdot))-u(t,x))dt \\ +G(t,x,u(t,x),\nabla u(t,x)g(t,x,u(t,x)),u(t,x+h(t,x,\cdot))-u(t,x))dB_t, \\ (t,x)\in[0,T]\times R^n, \quad u(T,x)=\Phi(x), \end{array}\right. \tag{9}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\mathcal{L}u = \begin{pmatrix} Lu_1 \\ \vdots \\ Lu_m \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
& Lu_k(t,x) \\
= \;& \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(gg*)_{ij}(t,x,u(t,x))\frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t,x) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(t,x,u(t,x),\nabla u(t,x)g(t,x,u(t,x)), \\
& u(t,x+h(t,x,\cdot)) - u(t,x))\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}(t,x) \\
& + \int_Z (u_k(t,x+h(t,x,z)) - u_k(t,x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(t,x,z)\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}(t,x))\lambda(dz), \\
& k = 1,\cdots,m.
\end{aligned}$$

We can assert that

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that the functions f, g, h, F, G and Φ in FBDSDEP (8) are deterministic and that they satisfy the assumptions (H2)-(H5) and (H7). Suppose SPDIE (9) has a unique solution $u(t,x) \in C^{1,2}(\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then, for any given (t,x), $u(t,x)$ has the following interpretation*

$$u(t,x) = P_t, \tag{10}$$

where P_t is determined uniquely by FBDSDEP (8).

Proof. Applying Proposition 2.4 to $u(t,X_t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& u(T,X_T) - u(t,X_t) \\
= \;& \int_t^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s,X_s)ds + \int_t^T \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(s,X_s,P_s,Q_s,K_s)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(s,X_s)ds \\
& + \int_t^T \nabla u(s,X_s)g(s,X_s,P_s)dW_s \\
& + \int_t^T \int_Z (u(s_-,X_{s_-} + h(s_-,X_{s_-},z)) - u(s,X_{s_-}))\widetilde{N}(dzds) \\
& + \int_t^T \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(gg*)_{ij}(s,X_s,P_s)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(s,X_s)ds \\
& + \int_t^T \int_Z (u(s,X_s + h(s,X_s,z)) - u(s,X_s) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(s,X_s,z)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(s,X_s))\lambda(dz)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P_t,Q_t,K_t) \\
= \;& (u(t,X_t),\nabla u(t,X_t)g(t,X_t,u(t,X_t)),u(t,X_t+h(t,X_t,\cdot)) - u(t,X_t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Because $u(t,x)$ satisfies SPDIE (9), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(X_T) - u(t,X_t) \\
= & \int_t^T F(s,X_s,u(s,X_s),\nabla u(s,X_s)g(s,X_s,u(s,X_s)), \\
& u(s,X_s+h(s,X_s,\cdot)) - u(s,X_s))ds \\
& + \int_t^T G(s,X_s,u(s,X_s),\nabla u(s,X_s)g(s,X_s,u(s,X_s)), \\
& u(s,X_s+h(s,X_s,\cdot)) - u(s,X_s))dB_s \\
& + \int_t^T \nabla u(s,X_s)g(s,X_s,u(s,X_s))dW_s \\
& + \int_t^T \int_Z (u(s_-,X_{s_-}+h(s_-,X_{s_-},z)) - u(s_-,X_{s_-}))\widetilde{N}(dzds).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $(u(t,X_t),\nabla u(t,X_t)g(t,X_t,u(t,X_t)),u(t,X_t+h(t,X_t,\cdot)) - u(t,X_t))$ coincides with the unique solution to BDSDEP of (8). It follows that

$$u(t,x) = P_t.$$

□

Remark 5.2 *(10) can be called a Feynman-Kac formula for SPDIE (9). Furthermore, with regard to FBDSDEs driven by Lévy process rather than by Poisson process, we can get the similar Feynman-Kac formula as (10) for a SPDIE.*

6 Example: a doubly stochastic Hamiltonian system with Brownian motions and Poisson process

Consider the following doubly stochastic Hamiltonian system with Brownian motions and Poisson process

$$\begin{cases}
dX_t &= H_P dt + H_Q dW_t - Y_t dB_t + \int_Z H_K \widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\
dP_t &= -H_X dt - H_Y dB_t + Q_t dW_t + \int_Z K_t(z)\widetilde{N}(dzdt), \\
X_0 &= \Psi_P(P_0), \quad P_T = \Phi_X(X_T),
\end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $H(X,P,Y,Q,K) : \mathbb{R}^4 \times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(X) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\Psi(P) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$; $H_P \doteq \nabla_P H$, $\Phi_X \doteq \nabla_X \Phi, \Psi_P \doteq \nabla_P \Psi$. The Brownian motions $\{W_t; 0 \le t \le T\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \le t \le T\}$ are both assumed to be 1-dimensional. Assume that both the derivatives of 2-order of H and the derivatives of 1-order of Φ and Ψ are bounded, H is concave on (P,Q,K) and convex on (X,Y) in the following sense $\mu_1 > 0$, and $\mu_2 > 0$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -H_{XX} & -H_{XP} & -H_{XY} & -H_{XQ} & -H_{XK} \\ H_{PX} & H_{PP} & H_{PY} & H_{PQ} & H_{PK} \\ -H_{YX} & -H_{YP} & -H_{YY} & -H_{YQ} & -H_{YK} \\ H_{QX} & H_{QP} & H_{QY} & H_{QQ} & H_{QK} \\ H_{KX} & H_{KP} & H_{KY} & H_{KQ} & H_{KK} \end{pmatrix} \leq$$

$$-\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\forall(X,P,Y,Q,K) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times L^2_{\lambda(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$, and Φ is convex on X: $\Phi_{XX} \geq 0$, $\forall X \in R$; Ψ is concave on P: $\Psi_{PP} \leq 0$, $\forall P \in R$. By Theorem 3.2, we claim that this doubly stochastic Hamiltonian system (11) has a unique solution (X,P,Y,Q,K) in $M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^4) \times F^2_N(0,T;\mathbb{R})$.

References

[1] F. Antonelli, *Backward-forward stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **3** (1993) 777–793.

[2] V. Bally, A. Matoussi, *Weak solutions for SPDEs and backward doubly stochastic differential equations*, J. Theoret. Probab. **14** (2001) 125–164.

[3] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, E. Pardoux, *Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. **60** (1997) 57–83.

[4] J. Cvitanic, J. Ma, *Hedging options for a large investor and forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **6** (1996) 370–398.

[5] R. Darling, E. Pardoux, *Backward SDE with random terminal time and applications to semilinear elliptic PDE*, Ann. Probab. **25** (1997) 1135–1159.

[6] L. Hu, Y. Ren, *Stochastic PDIEs with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions and generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **229** (2009) 230–239.

[7] Y. Hu, S. Peng, *Solution of forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **103** (1995) 273–283.

[8] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *"Stochastic differential equations and diffusion process"*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1981.

[9] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. Yong, *Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly–a four step scheme*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **98** (1994) 339–359.

[10] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, Systems Control Lett. **14** (1990) 55–61.

[11] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear parabolic SPDEs*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **98** (1994) 209–227.

[12] E. Pardoux, S. Tang, *Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic PDEs*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **114** (1999) 123–150.

[13] E. Pardoux, S. Zhang, *Generalized BSDEs and nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **110** (1998) 535–558.

[14] S. Peng, *Probabilistic interpretation for systems of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. **37** (1991) 61–74.

[15] S. Peng, Z. Wu, *Fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations and applications to optimal control*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **37** (1999) 825–843.

[16] S. Peng, Y. Shi, *Infinite horizon forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Stoch. Process. Appl. **85** (2000) 75–92.

[17] S. Peng, Y. Shi, *A type of time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **336** (2003) 773–778.

[18] Y. Ren, A. Lin, L. Hu, *Stochastic PDIEs and backward doubly stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **223** (2009) 901–907.

[19] R. Situ, *On solution of backward stochastic differential equations with jumps and applications*, Stoch. Process. Appl. **66** (1997) 209–236.

[20] X. Sun, Y. Lu, *The property for solutions of the multi-dimensional backward doubly stochastic differential equations with jumps*, Chin. J. Appl. Probab. Stat. **24** (2008) 73–82.

[21] S. Tang, X. Li, *Necessary condition for optional control of stochastic system with random jumps*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **32** (1994) 1447–1475.

[22] Z. Wu, *Forward-backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian Motion and Poisson Process*, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica **15** (1999) 433–443.

[23] Z. Wu, X. Wang, *FBSDE with Poisson process and its application to linear quadratic stochastic optimal control problem with random jumps*, Acta Automatica Sinica **29** (2003) 821–826.

[24] Z. Wu, Z. Yu, *Linear quadratic nonzero-sum stochastic diferential games problem with random jumps*, Appl. Math. Mech. **26** (2005) 1034–1039.

[25] J. Yin, X. Mao, *The adapted solution and comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **346** (2008) 345–358.

[26] J. Yin, R. Situ, *On solutions of forward-backward stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **21** (2003) 1419–1448.

[27] J. Yong, *Finding adapted solutions of forward-backward stochastic differential equations–method of continuation*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **107** (1997) 537–572.

[28] Q. Zhang, H. Zhao, *Stationary solutions of SPDEs and infinite horizon BDSDEs*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007) 171–219.

[29] Q. Zhu, Y. Shi, X. Gong, *Solutions to general forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equations*, Appl. Math. Mech. **30** (2009) 517–526.